

Computational Study on Buckling Capacity of Lipped Channel Beams Infilled with Concrete

Chai Teck Jung^{1*}, Fauziah Aziz¹, and Koh Heng Boon²

¹Department of Civil Engineering,
Politeknik Sultan Azlan Shah,
Behrang Stesen, 35950 Behrang, Perak, Malaysia.

²Faculty of Civil and Built Environment,
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia,
86400 Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia.

*Corresponding Author's Email: tjchai@psas.edu.my

Article History: Received 12 March 2025; Revised 18 June 2025;
Accepted 27 June 2025; Published 30 June 2025

©2025 Chai Teck Jung, Fauziah Aziz, and Koh Heng Boon.
Published by Jabatan Pendidikan Politeknik dan Kolej Komuniti. This is an open access article under the
CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Abstract

This paper presents a computational study employing finite element analysis (FEA) to evaluate the buckling capacity of lipped channel beams infilled with concrete. Lipped channel sections with overall depths ranging from 100 mm to 250 mm, commonly available in the local construction industry, were analysed with beam lengths varying between 3.0 m and 7.5 m. Two sets of beam configurations were modelled: control beams consisted of a plain lipped channel section and another set infilled with concrete. The analysis was conducted under two types of boundary conditions: simply supported (pinned-roller) and pinned-pinned supports. Buckling analysis was performed using LUSAS FEA software, applying a four-point bending test to simulate realistic loading conditions. The results reveal that larger-lipped channel sections exhibited higher buckling capacities for both plain and concrete-infilled configurations. Additionally, concrete-infilled lipped channel beams with pinned-pinned support achieved greater capacities compared to their simply supported counterparts. However, longer span beams, being slenderer, demonstrated reduced buckling capacity. The buckling capacity of lipped channel beams increased significantly with concrete infill compared to plain-lipped channel beams. Overall, this study highlights concrete infill as an effective method to enhance the structural capacity of open steel sections, providing a viable solution for improving their buckling performance.

Keywords: Buckling Capacity, Concrete Infill, Finite Element Analysis, Lipped Channel Beam, Structural Enhancement

1.0 Introduction

Steel sections are widely used in construction due to their strength and versatility. However, asymmetric single sections, such as C-sections, are not widely used for long-span structures because their high slenderness can lead to lateral-torsional buckling. Concrete infilled in steel beams significantly enhances structural performance by increasing stiffness and strength. This combined effect improves load-bearing capacity and delays buckling due to the increased structural stiffness provided by the concrete [1], [2], [3]. Studies have shown that the structural performance of steel beams can be significantly improved by adding concrete. The inclusion of concrete infill enhances the stiffness and strength of steel beams, making them more robust

and rigid. This helps delay the buckling process and improves load-carrying capacity. Research on composite beams has demonstrated these advantages, with concrete infill being particularly effective in reducing distortional and localised buckling [4], [5].

Beams are structural elements that bend or are subjected to combined shear and bending stresses. A beam's ability to withstand bending forces without failing is known as its flexural capacity. The flexural behaviour of lipped channel beams is influenced by various factors, including section shape, material properties, and loading conditions. Lateral-torsional buckling can limit the flexural capacity of traditional lipped channel beams, thereby reducing their structural integrity and load-carrying capability [6], [7], [8]. Lipped channel beams are widely used in construction for their excellent load-bearing properties. Their design incorporates a flange extending from the web, improving strength and stiffness, making them ideal for various building and infrastructure applications. Traditionally, lipped channel beams are used without infill, relying on their inherent properties to resist bending loads. However, advancements in construction techniques have prompted the use of lightweight concrete infill to enhance their flexural capacity [9].

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is a powerful tool for investigating the buckling behaviour of composite beams. It allows for detailed parametric studies and simulations under various loading conditions, providing insights into the complex interactions between steel and concrete [10], [11]. Based on the study carried out by [12] for every section, the global buckling failure mode of lateral-torsional buckling is characterised by a 50% contribution from torsion and 50% from bending about the minor axis. Additionally, distortion contributes to failure in the second eigenmode, while in every scenario, the mode with the lowest buckling load (i.e., the first eigenvalue) corresponds to lateral torsional buckling. Finite element studies have also examined the lateral buckling strength of a novel cold-formed steel beam (lite steel beam) when subjected to moment gradient effects. These studies revealed that lateral distortional buckling diminishes the strength benefits of the moment-gradient, especially in cases with large end-moment ratios [13]. Thus, this paper aims to address the structural performance of lipped channel beams by examining the efficacy of concrete infill in reducing lateral torsional buckling by using finite element analysis.

2.0 Methodology

2.1 Lipped Channels and Concrete

Locally available cold-formed lipped channel sections produced from KHP Steel Product (M) Sdn. Bhd. were used in the computational study. Figure 1 shows the cross-section of the lipped channel, and Table 1 presents the dimensions of the four lipped channels used in the study. The web dimensions are 100, 150, 200, and 250 mm, while the corresponding flange sizes are 50, 65, 75, and 75 mm. The lip dimensions range from 12 to 16 mm, with a consistent thickness of 2.0 mm.




Figure 1: Typical cross-section of lipped channel

Table 1: Geometry of lipped channel section

Channel Identification	Dimension (mm)			
	Web (H)	Flange (B)	Lip (L)	Thickness (t)
CP10020-50	100	50	12	2.0
CP15020-65	150	65	14	2.0
CP20020-75	200	75	16	2.0
CP25020-75	250	75	16	2.0

The lipped channel sections are hot-dip galvanised steel with a minimum yield stress of 450 MPa. The modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio are 209 GPa and 0.3, respectively. The concrete infill in the lipped channel is grade C25, having a 28-day compressive strength of 28 MPa and Poisson's ratio of 0.2.

2.2 Length and Support Condition of Lipped Channel Beams

Two sets with a total of 64 lipped channel beams were analysed. The first set is lipped channel beams without infill. The second set is lipped channel beams filled with concrete. Four types of length ranging from 3 m to 7.5 m with an increment of 1.5 m, and two types of end support conditions consisting of pinned-roller and pinned-pinned were used as a parametric study.

2.3 Finite Element Analysis

2.3.1 Finite Element Modelling

A three-dimensional finite element (FE) model is used to simulate the buckling behaviour of the cold-formed steel-lipped channel beams. The lipped channel beams were modelled as thick shell quadrilateral elements. The quadrilateral elements (QTS4) adopt an assumed strain field for interpolation of the transverse shear strains. The inclusion of an assumed strain field prevents the element from shear locking when used as a thin shell. The displacements and rotations are considered independent and the nodal degrees of freedom. Concrete, as the infill material, was modelled as a three-dimensional solid

element. The solid element (HX8M) is based on a three-field mixed formulation in which stresses, strains and displacements are represented by three independent functions in three separate vector spaces [14]. Figure 2 shows the three-dimensional thick shell and solid elements of QTS4 and HX8M in LUSAS.




Figure 2: Three-dimensional thick shell and solid elements

2.3.2 Modelling Techniques

The FE program used is LUSAS. LUSAS is a powerful finite element analysis tool for studying the buckling behaviour of steel structures. Its advanced capabilities enable the accurate modelling of thin-walled sections using shell or plate elements, effectively capturing distortional, global, and local buckling modes. LUSAS supports both linear eigenvalue and nonlinear buckling analyses, allowing for the evaluation of critical loads and post-buckling behaviour. The three-dimensional finite element (FE) modelling of the lipped channel beam is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Three-dimensional FE model

The beams are single-span, with four different spans consisting of 3 m, 4.5 m, 6 m, and 7.5 m. The boundary condition is applied at the whole cross-section at both ends. Pinned support is assigned as a displacement restraint in the x, y, and z directions. Meanwhile, roller support is assigned as a displacement restraint in the vertical (y) direction only. Lipped channel and concrete infill are meshed with thick shell elements and solid elements, respectively. The beams are applied with two concentrated loads (4-point bending), vertical at the distances of $L/3$ and $2L/3$, where L is the span as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Loading procedure

Linear buckling analysis is used to estimate the maximum load (P) that can be supported prior to structural instability or collapse of the lipped channel beams subjected to 4-point bending. The basic assumptions of linear buckling analysis are that the linear stiffness matrix does not change before buckling and that the stress stiffness matrix is simply a multiple of its initial value. This technique can be used to predict the load level at which a structure becomes unstable, provided the pre-buckling displacements have negligible influence on the structural response [15]. For concrete infill-lipped channel beams, the contact between the steel and concrete is assumed fully bonded. The capacity of the beams is predicted based on the eigenvalue extraction for buckling.

2.3.3 Pre-Modelling Verification

To ensure that the method used and the FE model are appropriate, verification was performed on a plain lipped channel beam using CP10020-50 by comparing the difference between the FEA results and theoretical values based on [16]. The beam is 3 m long with the support condition of pinned-roller and is subjected to 4-point bending. Based on the FEA results, the difference in buckling capacity is only 2.5% compared to the theoretical value. The failure of the beam is under the buckling mode as shown in Figure 5. Therefore, it confirms that the FE method used is reliable.



Figure 5: Failure mode of pinned-roller-lipped channel beam without concrete infill

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Buckling Load

The buckling capacity of the lipped channel beam is evaluated by analysing both global and local buckling modes. During this assessment, the beam's

geometry, material properties, boundary conditions, and applied loads are carefully defined. LUSAS software was used to determine the critical buckling load, which represents the threshold at which buckling is most likely to occur. Table 2 presents the buckling capacity for pinned-roller and pinned-pinned support conditions in different sizes of lipped channel beams with varying lengths without concrete infill. The results clearly show that beams with pinned support at both ends achieve higher buckling capacities compared to beams with pinned controller support. The buckling capacity increment ranges from 65% to 148% when both ends are pinned supports.

Table 2: Buckling load of lipped channel beams without concrete infill

Lipped Channel Section	Length of Beam (m)	Buckling Load (kN)	
		Pinned - Roller	Pinned - Pinned
CP10020-50	3	3.5	7.8
	4.5	1.2	2.7
	6	0.6	1.3
	7.5	0.4	0.8
CP15020-65	3	7.4	17.7
	4.5	3.5	6.9
	6	1.4	3.2
	7.5	0.8	1.8
CP20020-75	3	10.9	18.0
	4.5	5.0	12.1
	6	2.5	5.9
	7.5	1.4	3.2
CP25020-75	3	9.8	19.2
	4.5	5.6	11.0
	6	2.9	7.2
	7.5	1.7	4.1

Table 3 presents the buckling load of infilled lipped channel beams under different boundary conditions, specifically pinned-roller and pinned-pinned. Similar to plain-lipped channel beams, all concrete infill beams with pinned-pinned support gained higher buckling loads compared with pinned-roller support beams. However, the increment is not as significant as compared with plain lipped channel beams. The increment ranges from 41% to 60% when both ends are pinned supports.

Based on the results tabulated in Tables 2 and 3, several findings have been found and summarised as follows. For both boundary conditions (pinned-roller and pinned-pinned) and both types of beams (plain and concrete infill), the buckling capacity for all lengths using lipped channel CP15020-65 has increased significantly compared with beams using lipped channel CP10020-50. The depth of the web for CP15020-65 is 50 mm larger than CP10020-50, which significantly increases the moment of inertia in the major axis. However, the increment of the buckling capacity did not show the same pattern when the depth of the web of the lipped channel was further increased to 200 mm (CP20020-75) and 250 mm (CP25020-75). This phenomenon may

be due to the buckling capacity is also affected by the moment of inertia in the minor axis. The buckling capacity is greatly reduced when a significant portion of the smaller flange is in compression [17]. The increment of depth in the lipped channel does not contribute to a significant increment of the moment of inertia in the minor axis compared to the increment in the major axis.

Table 3: Buckling load of lipped channel beams infilled with concrete

Lipped Channel Section	Length of Beam (m)	Buckling Load (kN)	
		Pinned – Roller	Pinned – Pinned
CP10020-50	3	6.0	9.1
	4.5	2.1	3.0
	6	1.0	1.6
	7.5	0.6	0.9
CP15020-65	3	13.8	20.1
	4.5	5.4	7.9
	6	2.5	3.9
	7.5	1.4	2.2
CP20020-75	3	14.4	20.5
	4.5	9.1	14.1
	6	4.8	7.0
	7.5	2.7	3.9
CP25020-75	3	14.5	20.5
	4.5	8.1	12.5
	6	5.9	8.4
	7.5	3.3	4.9

It has been observed that all lipped channel beams with pinned-pinned support conditions gained higher buckling loads compared with beams with pinned-roller support. This finding shows that the support condition is an important factor governing the buckling capacity of steel beams. In the case of pinned-roller configuration, where one end (roller) of the beam is only restrained vertically and free to rotate horizontally and out of plane, the buckling capacity experiences a notable decrease. Conversely, under the pinned-pinned condition, where both ends are restrained in all directions, there is a substantial increase in structural stability, especially lateral torsional buckling, leading to a remarkable enhancement in buckling capacity. This enhancement is particularly prominent in shorter lengths due to the pinned-pinned setup effectively limiting lateral displacement and augmenting load resistance.

Concrete infill has successfully enhanced the buckling capacity of lipped channel beams under both support conditions, especially for pinned-roller beams. A lipped channel is an asymmetric section; when subjected to vertical load, it deforms in the direction of the load and also twists (lateral torsional buckling). The shear centre, also known as the centre of twist, affects the buckling capacity. Initially, the shear centre of the lipped channel is located

outside the web. The lipped channel with concrete infill forms a composite section that provides higher section properties, and the shear centre is shifted inside the section. Thus, lipped channel beams infilled with concrete achieve a higher buckling capacity.

3.2 Buckling Modes

From the 64 FE models, the failure modes of the lipped channel beams due to buckling can be differentiated in terms of beam span length, boundary condition, and the effect of concrete infill. Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9 summarise the buckling modes of the lipped channel beams.




Figure 6: Buckling mode of plain-lipped channel beams with pinned-roller support




Figure 7: Buckling mode of plain-lipped channel beams with pinned-pinned support




Figure 8: Buckling mode of concrete infill-lipped channel beams with pinned-roller support




Figure 9: Buckling mode of concrete infill-lipped channel beams with pinned-pinned support

For short-lipped channel beams (Figure 6a) without concrete infill, twisting occurs at the roller support, accompanied by some lateral torsional buckling between the two concentrated loads. In contrast, for long-lipped channel beams (Figure 6b), twisting is likely to occur between the two concentrated loads. When both supports are pinned, the short-lipped channel beam (Figure 7a) without concrete infill buckles on one side between the two concentrated loads. Meanwhile, the long-lipped channel beam (Figure 7b) experiences twisting in double curvature.

Concrete infill enhanced the buckling capacity of lipped channel beams. The buckling modes for concrete infill lipped channel beams with pinned-roller support (Figure 8) are almost similar to those of plain lipped channel beams with pinned-pinned support. Lipped channel beams infilled with concrete and supported by pinned-pinned connections (Figure 9) achieved the highest capacity. The failure modes differ significantly from those of other beams. These beams experience local failure, especially between the two concentrated loads. Global buckling is not significantly found in these beams, as the web and flange of the lipped channel are subjected to local buckling and crushing.

4.0 Conclusions

The effect of concrete infill and support conditions on the buckling behaviour of lipped channel beams subjected to concentrated load has been investigated through finite element analysis. Pinned-pinned support condition has a significant contribution in enhancing the buckling capacity of lipped channel beams without concrete infill, reaching a capacity increase of more than 100%. Concrete infill has successfully increased the buckling capacities of lipped channel beams. The effect of concrete infill shows very encouraging results for beams with pinned-roller support. The buckling mode of lipped channel beams differs due to changes in beam length, boundary conditions and caused by concrete infill. Generally, two types of buckling modes have been identified, where lipped channel beams without concrete infill experience global buckling and lipped channel beams with concrete infill are subjected to local failure. Long span-lipped channel beams without concrete infill show the twisting between the two concentrated loads. Concrete infill has

successfully increased the capacity of lipped channel beams, allowing them to be used for longer structures and carry higher loads.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank all the team members for their help and cooperation in this manuscript contributions.

Author Contributions

The author's contributions to this manuscript are as follows:

Chai Teck Jung: Conceptualisation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Software, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Project Administration;

Fauziah Aziz: Software, Writing – Review & Editing;

Koh Heng Boon: Software, Validation, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing.

Conflicts of Interest

This manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration by any other journal. All authors have approved the review, agree with its submission, and declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] E. Ellobody and B. Young, “Performance of concrete-filled steel tubular columns,” *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 484–492, 2006.
- [2] W. Charles, M. Roeder, E. L. Dawn and B. Erik, “Strength and stiffness of circular concrete-filled tubes,” *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 136, no. 12, pp. 1545–1553, 2010.
- [3] J. R. Joseph and J. H. Henderson, “Concrete-filled steel tube truss girders-A state-of-the-art review,” *Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*, vol. 70, no. 49, pp. 1 – 15, 2023.
- [4] H. D. Craveiro, R. Rahnavard, J. Henriques, and R. A. Simões, “Structural fire performance of concrete-filled built-up cold-formed steel columns,” *Materials*, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 2159, 2022.
- [5] Y. H. Song, J. Li and Y. Y. Chen, “Local and post-local buckling of normal/high strength steel sections with concrete infill,” *Thin-Walled Structures*, vol. 138, pp. 155–169, 2019.
- [6] V. M. Sreedevi, V.E. Parvathi, K. Rajneesh, S. Aswin, A. Anisha, P.J. Usman Arshad, M. Sujith and D. Robin, “Data driven models for capacity prediction of CFS lipped channel flexural members,” *Structures*, vol. 62, p. 106913, 2024.
- [7] R. Kandasamy, R. Thenmozhi and L. S. Jeyagopal, L. S, “Flexural-torsional buckling tests of cold-formed lipped channel beams under restrained boundary conditions,” *International Journal of Steel Structures*, vol. 16, pp. 765–776, 2016.
- [8] R. Senthilkumar, T. S. Sunil and P. Jayabalan, “Buckling behaviour of hollow flange channel beam sections in bending,” *International Journal of Steel Structures*, vol. 19, pp. 1454–1464. 2019.

- [9] L. Borkowski, J. Grudziecki, M. Kotelko, V. Ungureanu and D. Dubina, "Ultimate and post ultimate behaviour of thin-walled cold-formed steel open-section members under eccentric compression, Part II: Experimental study," *Thin-Walled Structures*, vol. 171, p. 108802, 2022.
- [10] F. Aslani, B. Uy, Z. Tao, and F. Mashiri, "Behaviour and design of composite columns incorporating compact high-strength steel plates," *Journal of Construction Steel Research*, vol. 107, pp. 94–110, 2015.
- [11] J. B. Yan, J. Y. R. Liew, M. H. Zhang and K. M. A. Sohel, "Experimental and analytical study on ultimate strength behaviour of steel-concrete-steel sandwich composite beam structures," *Materials and Structures*, vol. 48, pp. 1523–1544, 2015.
- [12] S. K. Sharma, K. V. Praveen Kumar, M. A. Akbar, and D. Rambabu, "Comparative study of finite element analysis and generalized beam theory in prediction of lateral torsional buckling," *Advances in Materials Research*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 59–73, 2022.
- [13] C. W. Kurniawan and M. Mahendran, "Elastic lateral buckling of simply supported LiteSteel beams subject to transverse loading," *Thin-Walled Structures*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 109-119, 2009.
- [14] LUSAS Theory Manual, Volume 1, LUSAS, Surrey, UK, 2000.
- [15] LUSAS Theory Manual, Volume 2, LUSAS, Surrey, UK, 2000.
- [16] British Standard Institution, "Eurocode 3: *Design of Steel Structures – Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings*," United Kingdom, 2005.
- [17] S. G. Morkhade and L. M. Gupta, "Effect of load height on buckling resistance of steel beams," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 51, pp. 151 – 158, 2013.